UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/815,576 | 03/31/2004 | Cynthia B. Robinson | 30775.724.201 | 9401 | |
| | 7590 09/29/200 SINI GOODRICH & F | | EXAMINER | | |
| 650 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050 | | | RAMACHANDRAN, UMAMAHESWARI | | |
| PALO ALTO, C | A 94304-1030 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/29/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | 10/815,576 | ROBINSON ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN | 1617 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addres | :s |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate or period for reply (including a total extension of time of the content of | f Mailing or Transmission dated | | ration of the |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it doe | es not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the fit | nal rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not cons final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se | | empt at a proper reply, to | the non- |
| (d) \square No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL | | the statutory period of the | nree months |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar | nce of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | . The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | not been received. | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | equired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice | of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | nsmission dated), | which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire intere | est, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity under | 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interform of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl | | se the period for seeking | court review |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Atty Robert Reamey stated (04 September 2008) | that the applicants have allowed th | ne case to go abandon | ied. |
| /SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617 | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with | draw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be prom | nptly filed to |